

000 ECE150, Computer Architecture – Assignment 1

001 Submit by Mar. 9, 8PM

002  
003 tldr: Uncover the underlying reason for the performance discrepancy between two  
004 functionally equivalent C routines.

006

007

008 **Problem Statement** Consider an uninitialized two-dimensional array in C. We may  
009 traverse this array and initialize each value to some integer along the way. Does the  
010 order we initialize the elements matter? For those of you with any DSA  
011 experience you will know that accessing an element of an array takes constant time  
012 in both the worst case and average case. This may lead you to believe that the order  
013 of array access does not matter — but when executing on a computer it does! (This  
014 is another example of why I suggest you divorce algorithms from their  
015 implementations.) I ask you to consider why. While we have hinted at the  
016 underlying reason in class, we have not explicitly discussed it.

020

021

022 For this assignment do not use a MIPS simulator. I ask you to compile the  
023 provided C programs with GCC for your local (presumably x86) processor. The  
024 observed phenomenon will be the same. That being said, I ask you to present your  
025 argument using your knowledge of MIPS and to present any instructions in the  
026 MIPS assembly language.

027

028

029

030

031

032

033

034

035

036

037

038

039

040

041

042

043

044

045

046

047

048

049

050

051

052

053

054

055

056

057

058

059

Some resources:

**C Program** <http://ee.cooper.edu/~curro/comparch/hw1/main.c>

**Makefile Sketch** <http://ee.cooper.edu/~curro/comparch/hw1/Makefile>

**This Document** <http://ee.cooper.edu/~curro/comparch/hw1/assign.pdf>

- Write a proper benchmark for the programs (need not be in C)
  - Think about your performance metric. I will not grade you based on your choice, but rather your argument for it.
  - Be careful with *what* you are actually measuring.
  - Report the 5th, 50th, and 95th percentiles.
- *Sketch* out what you expect the MIPS assembly might look like.
- Vary the size of the two-dimensional array from very small to very large. It may be helpful to plot the resulting benchmarks versus array size.
- Consider non-square arrays (you will need to modify the C to do this).
- Does repeating the routine in C change anything?